Generalized Linear Models - glm

Statistics 135

Autumn 2005



Fitting Generalized Linear Models - glm

Example: Geriatric Study to Reduce Falls

100 subject were studied to investigate two treatments to which is better to reduce falls.

- y: number of falls during 6 months of study (self-reported)
- x_1 : Treatment 0 = education only, 1 = education + aerobic exercise
- x_2 : Gender 0 = female, 1 = male
- x_3 : Balance Index (bigger is better)
- x_4 : Strength Index (bigger is better)

The question of interest is how much does the treatment reduce the number of falls, after adjusting for Gender, Balance Index and Strength Index



Lets analyze this data by Poisson regression with the log link, i.e. $y_i|X_i \sim P(\mu_i)$ where

$$\begin{split} \log \mu_i &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathsf{Trt} + \beta_2 \mathsf{Gender} + \beta_3 \mathsf{Balance} + \beta_4 \mathsf{Strength} \\ \mu_i &= \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathsf{Trt} + \beta_2 \mathsf{Gender} + \beta_3 \mathsf{Balance} + \beta_4 \mathsf{Strength}) \\ &= \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_2 \mathsf{Gender} + \beta_3 \mathsf{Balance} + \beta_4 \mathsf{Strength}) \times \exp(\beta_1 \mathsf{Trt}) \end{split}$$

So for a fixed Gender, Balance, and Strength combination

$$\mu_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} c & \mbox{Education only} \\ c e^{\beta_1} & \mbox{Education} + \mbox{Exercise} \end{array} \right.$$

where $c = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_2 \text{Gender} + \beta_3 \text{Balance} + \beta_4 \text{Strength})$

This is an example of a multiplicative model. The effect of treatment on the mean is described by a multiplicative effect. In addition to inference on β_1 , we also want to perform inference on e^{β_1} .

We can analyze this model by the following code

```
> fall.glm <- glm(Falls ~ Treatment + Gender + Balance + Strength,
family=poisson(), data=falls)
```

> summary(fall.glm)

```
Deviance Residuals:
```

Min	1Q	Median	ЗQ	Max
-2.1854	-0.7819	-0.2564	0.5449	2.3625

```
Coefficients:
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(z)	
(Intercept)	0.489467	0.336869	1.453	0.14623	
Treatment	-1.069403	0.133154	-8.031	9.64e-16	***
Gender	-0.046606	0.119970	-0.388	0.69766	
Balance	0.009470	0.002953	3.207	0.00134	**
Strength	0.008566	0.004312	1.986	0.04698	*

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 199.19 on 99 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 108.79 on 95 degrees of freedom AIC: 377.29

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

As mentioned last time, MLEs are approximately normally distributed. So one way of examining whether a β is significantly different from b_0 is by performing a *z*-test, which is of the form

$$z = \frac{\hat{\beta} - b_0}{se(\hat{\beta})}$$

and comparing this to a N(0,1).

The z-test for $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ has a z = -8.031 with a two-sided *p*-value = 9.64×10^{-16} , which is pretty good evidence that exercise has an effect of the number of falls and its beneficial.

The base function for fitting GLIMs is glm

- formula: a symbolic description of the model to be fit. The details of model specification are given below.
 - family: a description of the error distribution and link
 function to be used in the model. This can be a
 character string naming a family function, a family
 function or the result of a call to a family function.
 (See 'family' for details of family functions.)
- weights: an optional vector of weights to be used in the fitting process.

- subset: an optional vector specifying a subset of observations
 to be used in the fitting process.
- offset: this can be used to specify an _a priori_ known component to be included in the linear predictor during fitting.

The formula component works the same way as with the lm command.

There is one minor exception when dealing with binomial data. In that case, y needs to be a matrix with the first column giving the number of failures and the second column giving the number of successes.

Most functions that work for 1m objects will also work for glm objects. For example we've seen that summary gives similar output. Another example is the anova function. However it works slightly differently.

```
> anova(fall.glm)
Analysis of Deviance Table
```

Model: poisson, link: log

Response: Falls

Terms added sequentially (first to last)

	\mathtt{Df}	Deviance	Resid.	\mathtt{Df}	Resid.	Dev
NULL				99	199	. 194
Treatment	1	75.209		98	123	. 985
Gender	1	0.625		97	123	. 360
Balance	1	10.594		96	112	.766
Strength	1	3.976		95	108	.790

We can still use the anova function to compare two models. For example,

> fallH0.glm <- update(fall.glm, . ~ . - Treatment)</pre>

```
> anova(fallH0.glm, fall.glm, test="Chisq")
Analysis of Deviance Table
```

```
Model 1: Falls ~ Gender + Balance + Strength
Model 2: Falls ~ Treatment + Gender + Balance + Strength
Resid. Df Resid. Dev Df Deviance P(>|Chi|)
1 96 182.31
2 95 108.79 1 73.52 9.961e-18
```

This gives a different test that the z-test shown in the summary output. The test given in the ANOVA output is the likelihood ratio test, which uses a different distributional assumption than the z-test.

In the likelihood ratio test, the difference in the deviances (related to the log-likelihood) of the two nested models is approximately χ^2_{df} where df is the difference in the number of parameters of the two models.

Variances of Parameter Estimates

It may be necessary to get variances and covariances of parameter estimates in a GLIM. Uses may involve hypothesis tests, confidence intervals for β_i , or confidence intervals for $\mu = X\beta$ for a given set of predictors X.

This matrix can be gotten by the function vcov. Note that this function will also work with lm objects. An example of its use is to get pointwise confidence intervals for each β

```
> vcov(fall.glm)
```

	(Intercept)	Treatment	Gender	Balance
(Intercept)	0.1134809317	-4.449605e-04	-1.393692e-02	-4.949676e-04
Treatment	-0.0004449605	1.772996e-02	-2.577399e-03	-6.997795e-06
Gender	-0.0139369217	-2.577399e-03	1.439286e-02	1.628612e-07
Balance	-0.0004949676	-6.997795e-06	1.628612e-07	8.719750e-06
Strength	-0.0012143988	-4.030867e-05	1.268218e-04	1.007663e-07
	Strength			
(Intercept)	-1.214399e-03			
Treatment	-4.030867e-05			
Gender	1.268218e-04			

Balance 1.007663e-07 Strength 1.859437e-05

```
> se <- sqrt(diag(vcov(fall.glm)))
> ci <- coef(fall.glm) + qnorm(0.975) * cbind(se,-se)</pre>
```

> se

(Intercept) Treatment Gender Balance Strength 0.336869309 0.133153890 0.119970256 0.002952922 0.004312119

> ci

		Se
(Intercept)	-0.1707845489	1.14971888
Treatment	-1.3303793811	-0.80842572
Gender	-0.2817434434	0.18853132
Balance	0.0036823654	0.01525761
Strength	0.0001142306	0.01701743

As shown earlier, e^{β_1} is the multiplicative effect of adding exercise to the treatment. A confidence interval for this quantity can be calculated by exponentiating the interval for β_1 .

```
> exp(coef(fall.glm)[2])
Treatment 0.3432135
```

```
> exp(ci[2,])
```

se

```
0.2643769 0.4455589
```

In this case, it appears that exercise lowers falls to about one third of the rate without it.

Changing the Link Function

Suppose that we wish to fit a different link function. For example model

 $\mu_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \operatorname{Trt} + \beta_2 \operatorname{Gender} + \beta_3 \operatorname{Balance} + \beta_4 \operatorname{Strength}$

This can be done by modifying the family argument in the glm call

> fall.indent.glm <- glm(Falls ~ Treatment + Gender + Balance + Strength, family=poisson(link="identity"), data=falls) Error: no valid set of coefficients has been found: please supply starting values In addition: Warning message: NaNs produced in: log(x) > summary(fall.indent.glm)

Deviance Residuals:

Min1QMedian3QMax-2.2478-0.7663-0.26280.46512.4557

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(z)	
(Intercept)	1.363700	0.270996	5.032	4.85e-07	***
Treatment	-0.866151	0.101826	-8.506	< 2e-16	***
Gender	-0.029844	0.103328	-0.289	0.77271	
Balance	0.006935	0.002613	2.653	0.00797	**
Strength	0.006581	0.003590	1.833	0.06682	•

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 199.19 on 99 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 111.89 on 95 degrees of freedom AIC: 380.39 Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6

For information about the possible distributions available and the link functions available for these distributions, see help(family).

The following are common link function choices for different distributions

- Normal
 - Identity: $g(\mu) = \mu$ - Log: $g(\mu) = \log \mu$ - Inverse: $g(\mu) = \frac{1}{\mu}$
- Binomial
 - Logit: $g(\mu) = \log \frac{\mu}{1-\mu}$
 - Probit: $g(\mu) = \Phi^{-1}(\mu)$
 - Complementary Log-Log link: $g(\mu) = \log(-\log(\mu))$
 - Log: $g(\mu) = \log \mu$

- Poisson
 - Log: $g(\mu) = \log \mu$ - Identity: $g(\mu) = \mu$ - Square root: $g(\mu) = \sqrt{\mu}$
- Gamma
 - Inverse: $g(\mu) = \frac{1}{\mu}$ - Log: $g(\mu) = \log \mu$
 - Identity: $g(\mu) = \mu$
- Inv-Normal
 - Inverse squared: $g(\mu) = \frac{1}{\mu^2}$ - Inverse: $g(\mu) = \frac{1}{\mu}$ - Log: $g(\mu) = \log \mu$ - Identity: $g(\mu) = \mu$

Overdispersion

For Poisson and Binomial models, the dispersion parameter is fixed to be 1. However there can be data that look Poisson or Binomial but are more dispersed.

To examine this, we can use the quasipoisson or quasibinomial families in glm. When doing this, an overdispersion parameter is fit, which can also influence the fits of the β s.

```
> fall.quasi.glm <- glm(Falls ~ Treatment + Gender + Balance
 + Strength, family=quasipoisson(link="log"), data=falls)
```

```
> summary(fall.quasi.glm)
```

```
Call:
glm(formula = Falls ~ Treatment + Gender + Balance + Strength,
family = quasipoisson(link = "log"), data = falls)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	ЗQ	Max
-2.1854	-0.7819	-0.2564	0.5449	2.3625

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	0.489467	0.355076	1.378	0.17129	
Treatment	-1.069403	0.140351	-7.620	1.89e-11	***
Gender	-0.046606	0.126454	-0.369	0.71328	
Balance	0.009470	0.003113	3.043	0.00303	**
Strength	0.008566	0.004545	1.885	0.06254	•

(Dispersion parameter for quasipoisson family taken to be 1.111017)

Null deviance: 199.19 on 99 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 108.79 on 95 degrees of freedom AIC: NA

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

There is another extension to this idea, which allows for more general relationships between the mean and the variance of the data. This can be done by setting the family to quasi. This is the analogue in regression to only making assumptions about the mean and variance (no normality assumption).

From the family help page

link: (stuff about out families deleted)
The 'quasi' family allows the links '"logit"',
'"probit"', '"cloglog"', '"identity"', '"inverse"',
'"log"', '"1/mu^2"' and '"sqrt"'. The function 'power'
can also be used to create a power link function
for the 'quasi' family.

variance: for all families, other than 'quasi', the variance function is determined by the family. The 'quasi' family will accept the specifications '"constant"', '"mu(1-mu)"', '"mu"', '"mu^2"' and '"mu^3"' for the variance function.